

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,560	VAN BAAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gloria R. Weeks	3721	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gloria R. Weeks. (3)_____.

(2) Brian Hannon (Reg. No. 32,778). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4-11,13 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative, Brian Hannon, regarding the status of claims 1, 4-8 and 13 drawn to a non-elected invention, withdrawn with traverse in Applicant's response filed October 11, 2005. To expedite the prosecution of the application towards allowance, Examiner proposed canceling claims 1, 4-8 and 13, as remaining pending claims 9-11 and 14 are allowable. Mr. Hannon denied proposal, therefore Examiner has issued the Ex Parte Quayle Action attached..